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Preface

The United States National Residue Program (NRP) for Meat, Poultry and Egg Products: Residue Sampling Plans (traditionally known as the Blue Book) summarizes the process of sampling meat, poultry, and egg products for chemical contaminants of public health concern used by the Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) to conduct the NRP. This document details the principles and methods used to plan and design the NRP sampling plans. Sampling plans for veterinary drugs, pesticides, and environmental contaminants are provided, with explanations preceding summary tables. The Appendix details the FSIS laboratory analytical methods, including the species and tissue(s) validated for each method.
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Introduction

The U.S. National Residue Program (NRP) for Meat, Poultry, and Egg Products, administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS), is an interagency program designed to identify, rank, and test for chemical contaminants in meat, poultry, and egg products. FSIS publishes the NRP Residue Sampling Plans (traditionally known as the Blue Book) each year to provide information on the process of sampling meat, poultry, and egg products for chemical contaminants of public health concern.

The NRP requires the cooperation and collaboration of several agencies for its successful design and implementation. The USDA FSIS, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Food and Drug Administration (FDA) are the primary Federal agencies managing this program. The FDA, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, establishes tolerances for veterinary drugs and action levels for food additives and environmental contaminants. The EPA, under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (as modified by the Food Quality Protection Act), establishes tolerance levels for registered pesticides. Title 21 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) includes tolerance levels established by FDA; and Title 40 CFR includes tolerance levels established by EPA.

Representatives from FSIS, FDA, EPA, the USDA Agricultural Research Service (ARS), the USDA Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS), and the DHHS Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) collaborate to develop the scheduled sampling program. These agencies work together to create the annual sampling plans using prior NRP findings of chemical compounds in meat, poultry, and egg products, as well as FDA veterinary drug inventories completed during on-farm visits, information from investigations, and pesticides and environmental contaminants of current importance to EPA. The agency representatives convene to identify the residues of public health concern in appropriate production classes and evaluate FSIS laboratory capacity and analytical methods. FSIS publishes the finalized sampling plans in the Blue Book.

Chemical compounds tested in the program include approved and unapproved veterinary drugs, pesticides, and environmental compounds. The NRP is designed to: (1) provide a structured process for identifying and evaluating chemical compounds of concern in food animals; (2) analyze chemical compounds of concern; (3) collect, analyze, and report results; and, (4) identify the need for regulatory follow-up subsequent to the identification of violative levels of chemical residues.

FSIS administers this regulatory program under the Federal Meat Inspection Act (FMIA) (21 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), the Poultry Products Inspection Act (PPIA) (21 U.S.C. 453 et seq.), and the Egg Products Inspection Act (EPIA) (21 U.S.C. 1031 et seq.). The NRP is designed to protect the health and welfare of consumers by regulating the meat, poultry, and egg products produced in federally inspected establishments and to prevent the distribution in commerce of any such products that are adulterated or misbranded.

FSIS has administered the NRP by collecting meat, poultry, and egg product samples and analyzing the samples for specific chemical compounds at FSIS laboratories since 1967 for meat and poultry and 1995 for egg products. A violation occurs when an FSIS laboratory detects a chemical compound level in excess of an established tolerance or action level. FSIS informs the establishment by providing the establishment with the analysis results and also notifies the producer via certified letter and, under best practices, the establishment should notify the producer that an animal from that business has a violative chemical level. FSIS also shares the violation data with EPA and FDA, where the latter has on-farm jurisdiction. FDA and cooperating State agencies investigate producers linked to residue violations and, if conditions leading to residue violations are not corrected, can enforce legal action.
Every week, FSIS posts a Residue Repeat Violators List on its Web site. The list identifies producers with more than one violation on a rolling 12-month basis. In addition, the list provides helpful information to processors and producers who are working to avoid illegal levels of residues, serves as a deterrent for violators, and enables FSIS and FDA to make better use of resources (list for processors and producers). Because FSIS updates this list weekly, FDA may not have investigated each violation at the time of publication.

New NRP Operating Structure

The NRP consists of three separate, but interrelated, chemical residue testing programs: scheduled sampling, inspector-generated sampling, and import sampling. This basic structure has been in existence since 1967. These testing programs provide data for FSIS to detect chemical residues of concern and have been modified over the years to respond to emerging and re-emerging chemical residue concerns and improved testing methodologies.

In January 1997, FSIS implemented the Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) inspection system in all federally inspected establishments. The HACCP regulation (HACCP GPO CFR) requires FSIS-inspected slaughter and processing establishments to identify all food safety hazards (including drug residues, chemical contaminants, and pesticides) that are reasonably likely to occur before, during, and after the food animal or product enters the establishment. The regulation also requires establishments to identify preventive measures to control these hazards. FSIS takes regulatory action against establishments that do not have an effective chemical residue control program in place. Minimizing food safety hazards from farm- to- fork protects consumers from the public health risks associated with chemical contaminants in food.

With greater public concern about the risks of chemical contaminants, focus has increased on strengthening the identification, ranking, and testing for chemical hazards in meat, poultry, and egg products in the United States. The sampling plan for residues in FSIS-regulated products includes strengthening the focus of public health-based sampling. This approach includes broader screens for veterinary drugs, pesticides, and heavy metals, as well as conducting more analyses per sample and the use of performance-based methods.

FSIS has recently switched from implementing the NRP on a Calendar Year (CY) to a Fiscal Year (FY) basis. United States Federal government FY 2013 runs from October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2013. This change allows the program to run concurrently with the Federal budget. For the FY 2013 NRP, FSIS will continue with the three-tiered approach initiated in 2012, which involves sampling nine production classes (beef cows, bob veal, dairy cows, steers, heifers, market hogs, sows, young chickens, and young turkeys) for multiple residues and allowing FSIS to analyze more compounds per sample while taking fewer samples. The three-tiered system refers to scheduled sampling (Tier 1), targeted sampling at the production or compound class level (Tier 2), and targeted sampling at the herd/flock or compound class level (Tier 3). The sampling numbers reflect this change.

During Tier 1 (scheduled sampling program), the data collected serves as a baseline level for chemical residue exposure; FSIS rotates production classes through Tier 1 annually. Tier 1 will allocate approximately 600 random samples for each of the production classes tested. By increasing the number of compounds tested with the multi-residue methodology, the probability of finding a violation, which was previously 95 to 99 percent, (if the violation rate is equal to or greater than 1 percent in the sample population) increases.

For FY 2013, the Tier 1 domestic scheduled sampling program will include analyses across the 9 production classes representing 95 percent of domestic meat and poultry consumption. This change will result in more analytical results for each production class. FSIS Eastern and Western Laboratories will conduct the multi-residue screening methods for Tier 1.
Tier 2 includes the traditional inspector-generated sampling program at the establishment level. When FSIS Public Health Veterinarians (PHVs) detect evidence of a disease that may have been treated or suspect the use of a drug, they retain the carcass and test samples from those carcasses to screen for the presence of chemical residues. In CY 2011, inspection program personnel (IPP) completed 186,790 in-plant residue screens using the Kidney Inhibition Swab test (KIS™ test) or the Fast Antimicrobial Screen Test (FAST). The screen positive samples were submitted to the FSIS Midwestern Laboratory for confirmation, and 1,333 of these samples were confirmed to be violative. FSIS now uses a multi-residue screening method to test in-plant screen positives submitted to the Midwestern Laboratory.

In addition, Tier 2 includes directive-driven targeted testing at the production and compound class level as outlined in FSIS directives for show animals and bob veal calves. FSIS can also adjust and implement targeted sampling plans (exploratory assessments) to respond to information about misuse of animal drugs and/or exposure to environmental chemicals provided by other agencies (such as FDA and EPA), as well as Tier 1 analytical results.

The Tier 3 levels are similar in structure to the exploratory assessment program in Tier 2, with the exception that Tier 3 will encompass targeted testing at a herd or flock level. A targeted testing program designed for livestock or flocks originating from the same farm or geographic region may be necessary on occasion to determine the level of exposure to a chemical or chemicals. Tier 3 results may provide information that will support future policy development within the NRP. This plan is outlined in the schematic below-

The import reinspection sampling program will be structured using the Tier 1 and 2 frameworks. In FY 2013, FSIS will collect approximately 1,100 import samples.

**New Policy and Procedures for Holding or Controlling Product in FY 2013**

As of February 2013 and outlined in FSIS Notice 07-13, the Agency requires producers to hold or maintain control of lots of product tested for adulterants until acceptable results become available. FSIS stated that the policy also would apply to livestock carcasses subject to FSIS testing for residues. FSIS explained that it will not hold poultry carcasses pending test results for residues due to historically low residue problems and large lot size (76 FR 19955).
Multi-Residue Methodologies

Based on interagency discussion and method improvements, FSIS has been using a new screening method for antibiotics starting in the second half of CY-2012. The multi-residue method (MRM) provides the following significant improvements: 1) it screens and confirms for a variety of analytes, not just antibiotics; 2) it has been validated at levels appropriate to tolerances; 3) it clearly distinguishes individual analytes, even if multiple drugs are present in the same sample, using mass spectrometry (MS); 4) it mitigates unknown microbial inhibition responses; and 5) it reduces the time and personnel needed to screen for multiple analytes.

The FSIS pesticide method has been in place since CY-2011. This method diversifies testing capability, improving on the previous pesticide method. The previous method could only test for halogenated compounds; the new method tests for 56 pesticides across multiple classes and includes compounds such as carbaryl and piperonyl butoxide.

See Appendix for a list/link of current methods used by FSIS laboratories.
Overview of the Sampling Plans

The NRP 2013 Residue Sampling Plans focus on chemical residues in domestic meat, poultry, and egg products and address import reinspection of meat and poultry products. The domestic sampling plan includes scheduled sampling and inspector-generated sampling. The import reinspection sampling plan encompasses normal sampling, increased sampling, and intensified sampling. FSIS Directive 10,800.1, Procedures for Residue Sampling, Testing, and Other Responsibilities for the National Residue Program provides further detail on the sampling procedures.

DOMESTIC SAMPLING PLAN

Scheduled Sampling (Tier I)

Scheduled sampling plans involve taking tissue samples from randomly selected food animals that have passed ante-mortem inspection. The data collected serves as a baseline level for chemical residue exposure and FSIS rotates production classes through Tier 1 annually.

The Surveillance Advisory Team (SAT), an interagency committee comprised of representatives from FSIS, FDA, EPA, AMS, ARS, and CDC, consisting of experts in veterinary medicine, toxicology, chemistry, and public health who provide professional advice, as well as information on veterinary drug and pesticide use in animal husbandry. The purpose of the SAT is to enhance communication among participating agencies that support the NRP. These agencies conduct coordinated evaluations of chemical compounds for inclusion in the NRP scheduled sampling plans. These evaluations include veterinary drugs, pesticides, and environmental contaminants that may appear in FSIS-regulated products, including xenobiotic and naturally occurring compounds that may pose a potential human health hazard. The main responsibility of the SAT is obtaining and evaluating relevant toxicity and exposure information for each compound. The SAT discussions are used to decide which compounds represent a public health concern and warrant inclusion in the NRP scheduled sampling plans. In addition, the SAT may propose, based on professional judgment and reliable field information, the initiation of exploratory assessments for directed sampling on a production class or region of the country after a residue problem has been detected.

Inspector-Generated Sampling (Tier II)

In-plant personnel (IPP) conduct inspector generated sampling when they suspect that animals may have violative levels of chemical residues. Currently, inspector-generated sampling targets individual suspect animals and suspect populations of animals and animals condemned for specific pathologies listed in FSIS Directive 10,220.3. When an inspector generated sample is collected and the carcass is not already condemned, the sampled carcass is retained. If the in-plant test is negative, for antimicrobial residues, the carcass is released to the establishment. If positive, the carcass is held pending the results of laboratory testing. The PHV condemns carcasses of animals found to contain violative levels of residues in the muscle or if an unapproved drug is detected in any tissue.
Sampling for individual suspect animals

The PHV selects a carcass for sampling based on professional judgment and public health criteria outlined in FSIS Directives 10,800.1 and 10,220.3 (i.e., animal with disease signs and symptoms, producer history, or as a follow-up to results from random scheduled sampling). Usually, the sample is screened in the plant by the IPP and the screen-result verified when necessary by a PHV. Other samples are sent directly to the laboratory for analysis. For example, if the IPP suspects the misuse of a veterinary drug in an animal, she/he can perform the relevant in-plant screening test. If the result of a screening test is positive, the carcass is held (if it not already condemned for other pathology or conditions that would make it unfit for human consumption), and the liver, kidney, and muscle samples from the carcass are sent to an FSIS laboratory for analysis and confirmation.

Sampling for suspect animal populations

Sampling for suspect animal populations is directed by an FSIS regulation (e.g., 9 CFR 310.21), directive (e.g., FSIS Directive 10,220.3), or an FSIS notice.

Actions taken on violations

A violation occurs when an FSIS laboratory confirms a residue that exceeds an established tolerance or action level, as well as if the residue has no tolerance. Once the laboratory analysis is complete, FSIS enters the residue violation into the FSIS Residue Violation Information System (RVIS), an FSIS/FDA interagency database. FDA has on-farm jurisdiction and evaluates the appropriate action to take as a follow-up to the violation. These actions range in severity, from providing education to taking legal action.

Every week, FSIS posts a Residue Repeat Violator List on the official Agency website. The list identifies producers with more than one violation on a rolling 12-month basis. In addition, the list provides helpful information to processors and producers who are working to avoid illegal levels of residues, serves as a deterrent for violators, and enables FSIS and FDA to make better use of resources (list for processors and producers). Because FSIS updates this list weekly, FDA may not have investigated each violation at the time of publication.

IMPORT REINSPECTION SAMPLING PLAN

Imported meat, poultry, and egg products are sampled through the port-of-entry Import Reinspection Sampling Plan, a chemical residue-monitoring program conducted to verify the equivalence of inspection systems in exporting countries. All imported products are subject to reinspection, and one or more types of inspection (TOI) are conducted on every lot of product before it enters the United States. Chemical residue sampling is included in the reinspection of imported products. The following are the three levels of chemical residue reinspection include:

- normal sampling: random sampling from a lot;
- increased sampling: above-normal sampling resulting from an Agency management decision; and
- intensified sampling: additional samples taken when a previous sample for a TOI that failed to meet U.S. requirements.

1 An import lot is a group of products defined statistically and/or scientifically by production segments and certified from one country, one establishment. A lot consists entirely of the same species, process category, and product standard of identity (sub-category). A single lot can contain shipping cartons with varying sizes of immediate containers.
For both normal and increased sampling, the lot is not required to be retained pending laboratory results; however, the importer may choose to retain the lot pending the laboratory results. The lot is subject to recall if it is not retained and is found to contain violative levels of residue. For intensified sampling, the lot must be retained pending laboratory results.

The data obtained from laboratory analyses are entered into PHIS, an FSIS database designed to generate reinspection assignments, receive and store results, and compile histories for the performance of foreign establishments certified by the inspection system in the exporting country.

**ANIMAL PRODUCTION CLASSES**

Production class nomenclature includes:

**Bovine**
- Beef cows are mature, female cattle bred for muscle development, ordinarily having given birth to one or more calves.
- Bulls are mature, uncastrated male cattle.
- Calves/veal: The agency is currently engaging in rulemaking to define “veal.” For sampling purposes under the NRP, veal calves are defined as immature cattle (including dairy breeds) lacking a functional rumen and intended for meat production. They are recognized as a separate class from suckling calves because of their handling, housing, and proximity to slaughter.
- Dairy cows are mature, female cattle bred for milk production, ordinarily having given birth to one or more calves.
- Heifers are young, female cattle more than 1 year old that have not yet given birth to a calf.
- Steers are male cattle castrated before sexual maturity.

**Porcine**
- Boars are mature swine showing male sexual characteristics.
- Market hogs are swine, usually marketed near 6 months of age and 200 to 300 pounds live weight.
- Roaster pigs are animals of both sexes and any age that are marketed with the carcass unsplit and with the head on.
- Sows are mature, female swine, ordinarily having given birth to one or more litters.
- Stags are male swine castrated after they have reached sexual maturity.

**Poultry**
- Ducks are birds of both sexes and any age.
- Egg products include yolks, whites, or whole eggs after breaking; eggs are processed as dried, frozen, or liquid.
- Geese are birds of both sexes and any age.
- Mature chickens are adult female birds, usually more than 10 months of age.
- Mature turkeys are birds of both sexes and usually more than 15 months of age.
- Young chickens include broilers/fryers birds of both sexes that are usually less than 10 weeks of age. Roasters are birds of both sexes, usually less than 12 weeks of age; capons are surgically castrated male birds usually less than 8 months of age.
- Young turkeys include fryer/roaster birds that are of both sexes and usually less than 12 weeks of age.
- Other poultry include ratites (e.g., ostriches, emus, and rheas), guineas, squabs (young, unfledged pigeons), adult pigeons, pheasants, grouse, partridge, quail, etc.
Other Livestock

- Goats are animals of both sexes and any age.
- Lambs are sheep younger than 14 months and having a break joint in at least one leg.
- Rabbits are any of several lagomorph mammals of both sexes and any age.
- Sheep are mature animals of both sexes.
- Other livestock include bison, deer, elk, etc.
Summary of the Domestic and Import Reinspection Sampling Plans

Summary Tables I and II (Tier 1)

Summary Tables I and II provide an overview of both domestic and import sampling organized by chemical compound class. Each table covers: Animal Medicinal Drug Use Clarification Act (AMDUCA)-prohibited drugs, veterinary drugs, pesticides, and environmental contaminants. The tables also identify the FSIS laboratory conducting the analyses.

Summary Tables III – IV (Tier 2)

Summary Tables III through IV provide an overview of both domestic and import sampling organized by animal production class. Each table covers: Animal Medicinal Drug Use Clarification Act (AMDUCA)-prohibited drugs, veterinary drugs, pesticides, and environmental contaminants. Table III lists the KIS™ negative test for dairy cows; Table IV lists the sulfonamide results for imports.

Overview of the Program Design

The sampling plan design begins with a list of residues that may occur in meat, poultry, and egg products and are of concern to human health. FSIS coordinates a meeting of the SAT to identify and prioritize chemical compounds of public health concern and assemble detailed information on each compound. FSIS combines this information with historical data on violation rates for each chemical compound to develop the domestic sampling and the import reinspection plan. These sampling plans guide the allocation of FSIS laboratory, supplies and inspection resources.

Factors considered when developing the domestic and import scheduled sampling plans include:

- Qualitative public health risk associated with each chemical compound or compound class in meat, poultry, and egg products;
- The food animals affected by each chemical compound or compound class;
- The analytical methods that are available to identify the chemical compound or compound classes; and
- FSIS laboratory capacity to analyze chemical compounds or compound classes.

The import reinspection plan design is similar to the domestic plan, with two important exceptions. Raw product testing from samples collected at the U.S. port-of-entry is rare, because concerns about foreign animal diseases limit many countries to ship processed products only. When import of raw products is allowed, most shipped raw product consists of muscle tissue only. Exporting countries are required to identify the animal species in each product, but they are not required to identify the production class. Imported meat and poultry testing is categorized by species (e.g., poultry or porcine); egg products are distinguished as a separate category. Importing countries may allow the use compounds in food animals that are not approved for such use in the United States and may allow different use practices for compounds that are approved in the United States. For these reasons, the compounds selected for analysis in the import plan may not necessarily be the same as those in the U.S. domestic plan.
### Summary Table I. Production Class by Compound Class
**Domestic Scheduled Sampling: Tier 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Production Class Domestic FY 2013 Truncated (Jan. – Sept.)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beef cows</td>
<td>Dairy cows</td>
<td>Steers</td>
<td>Heifers</td>
<td>Bob veal</td>
<td>Market hogs</td>
<td>Sows</td>
<td>Young chickens</td>
<td>Young turkeys</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-class (EL, WL)</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminoglycoside (AMG) (EL, WL)</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pesticides (WL)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>260</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals (EL)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Agonists (WL)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avermectins (EL)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbadox (WL)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrofurans (WL)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic (EL)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*When the MRM (includes analgesics/anti-inflammatory, β-agonists, β-lactams/cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones, hormones, macrolides/lincosamides, phenicols, sulfonamides, tetracyclines and general drugs) becomes effective for poultry, the poultry samples scheduled for AMGs will also be run on the MRM from that point forward.

EL = FSIS Eastern Laboratory (Athens, GA); ML = FSIS Midwestern Laboratory (St. Louis, MO); WL = FSIS Western Laboratory (Alameda, CA)

### Summary Table II. Production Class by Compound Class
**Import Scheduled Sampling: Tier 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Production Class Imports FY 2013 Truncated (Jan. – Sept.)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fresh beef</td>
<td>Processed beef</td>
<td>Fresh pork</td>
<td>Processed pork</td>
<td>Fresh veal</td>
<td>Fresh lamb/mutton</td>
<td>Fresh goat</td>
<td>Fresh chicken</td>
<td>Processed chicken</td>
<td>Fresh turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-class (EL, WL)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminoglycoside (EL, WL)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pesticides (WL)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Agonists (WL)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avermectins (EL)</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic (EL)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Summary Table III. KISTM Test Negative Dairy Cows with Mastitis
**Domestic Scheduled Sampling: Tier 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domestic Production Class</th>
<th>Domestic Sample Size</th>
<th>Tissues for Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dairy cows (Criteria for testing – mastitis)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Muscle, liver, kidney</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Summary Table IV. Sulfonamides in Imported Processed Products
**Domestic Scheduled Sampling: Tier 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds for Analysis</th>
<th>Import Production Class</th>
<th>Import Sample Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulfonamides</td>
<td>Processed beef</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Processed pork</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Processed turkey</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Design of the Domestic Scheduled and Import Reinspection Sampling Plans: Veterinary Drugs, Pesticides, and Environmental Contaminants

I. Selecting Compounds for Testing

A. Veterinary Drugs

FSIS selects compound classes for sampling from the list of ranked veterinary drugs based on the relative public health concern. After identifying high-priority compounds and compound classes, FSIS applies other practical considerations to determine the compounds for sampling. The principal considerations include the availability of laboratory resources, especially the availability of appropriate analytical methods within the FSIS laboratories. When laboratory resources are limited, FSIS focuses resource allocation to domestic products because imported products have been inspected previously in the country of origin. However, if FSIS believes that a compound is being misused in a foreign country, then the compound and country will be added to the IRSP. Based on these considerations, the following compounds were evaluated for inclusion in 2013 scheduled sampling for domestic and imported products:

**Antibiotics:**

- **Tetracyclines:** tetracycline, oxytetracycline, chlortetracycline [High Performance Liquid Chromatography or mass spectrometry (HPLC) for identification, quantitation by bioassay].
- **Aminoglycosides:** spectinomycin, hygromycin, streptomycin, dihydrostreptomycin, amikacin, kanamycin, apramycin, gentamycin, neomycin [Liquid Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry/Mass Spectrometry (LC/MS/MS) for confirmation, quantitation of streptomycin, dihydrostreptomycin, gentamycin, and neomycin by bioassay].
- **Macrolides:** lincomycin, pirlymycin, clindamycin, tilmicosin, erythromycin, tulathromycin, and tylosin are confirmed by LC/MS/MS. Tilmicosin is quantitated also by HPLC. Erythromycin and tylosin are quantitated by the bioassay.
- **β-Lactams:** amoxicillin, ampicillin, cloxacillin, nafcillin, cefazolin, DCCD, dicloxacillin, penicillin G, oxacillin, and desacetyl cephaprin (LC/MS/MS for confirmation, quantitation by bioassay for penicillin G and ampicillin).
- **Fluoroquinolones:** ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, danofloxacin, enrofloxacin, sarafloxacin, difloxacin, desethylene difloxacin, desmethyl danofloxacin (LC/MS/MS for confirmation).

**Other Antibiotics:**

- Avoparcin (classification: glycopeptide; AMDUCA prohibited)
- Chloramphenicol (classification: antibiotic; AMDUCA prohibited)
- Florfenicol (classification: antibiotic; chloramphenicol derivative)
• Fluoroquinolones (classification: antibiotic; AMDUCA prohibited; compounds: ciprofloxacin, desethyleneciprofloxacin, danofloxacin, difloxacin, enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin, orbifloxacin, and sarafloxacin)
• Thiamphenicol (classification: antibiotic; chloramphenicol derivative)
• Vancomycin (classification: glycopeptide; AMDUCA prohibited)

**Other Veterinary Drugs:**
• Amprolium (classification: coccidiostat)
• Arsenicals (detected as elemental arsenic)
• Avermectins (classification: anthelmintics; compounds: doramectin, ivermectin, and moxidectin)
• Benzimidazoles (classification: anthelmintics; compounds: thiabendazole and its 5-hydroxythiabendazole metabolite, albendazole 2-animosulfone metabolite, benomyl in the active hydrolyzed form carbendazim, oxfendazole, mebendazole, cambendazole, and fenbendazole)
• Carboxad (classification: antimicrobial)
• β-Agonists (ractopamine, clenbuterol, cimaterol, zilpaterol, and salbutamol; growth promotants)
• Clorsulon (classification: anthelmintic)
• Diclofenac (classification: NSAID)
• Eprinomectin (classification: antiparasitic; avermectin)
• Etodolac (classification: NSAID)
• Flunixin (classification: NSAID)
• Halofuginone (classification: antiprotozoal, coccidiostat)
• Hormones, endogenous production (17-β estradiol, progesterone, testosterone)
• Hormones, xenobiotics (melengestrol acetate, trenbolone, zeranol)
• Lasalocid (classification: coccidiostat)
• Levamisole (classification: anthelmintic)
• Methyl prednisone (classification: glucocorticoid)
• Morantel and pyrantel (classification: anthelmintic)
• Nicarbazin (classification: coccidiostat)
• Nitrofurans (compounds: furazolidone, nitrofurazone; AMDUCA prohibited antimicrobials)
• Nitroimidazoles (classification: antiprotozoals; compounds: dimetridazole, ipronidazole)
• Phenylbutazone (classification: NSAID)
• Prednisone (classification: glucocorticoid)
• Ronidazole (classification: antimicrobial; compound: nitroimidazole)
• Sulfonamides (classification: antimicrobials, and some are coccidiostats; compounds: sulfapyridine, sulfadiazine, sulfathiazole, sulfamerazine, sulfamethazine, sulfachlorpyridazine, sulfadoxine, sulfamethoxypyridazine, sulfamethoxaline, sulfadimethoxine, sulfisoxazole, sulfacetamide, sulfamethoxazole, sulfamethizole, sulfanilamide, sulfaguanidine, sulfabromomethazine, sulfasalazine, sulfathoxypryridazine, sulfaphenazole, and sulfatroxazole)
• Sulfanitran (classification: antibacterial, coccidiostat)

2 NSAID = non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug
• Thyreostats (compounds: 2-thiouracil, 6-methyl-2-thiouracil, 6-propyl-2-thiouracil, 2-mercaptop-1-methylimidazole [tapazole], 6-phenyl-2-thiouracil, and 2-mercaptobenzimidazole)
• Veterinary tranquilizers (compounds: azaperone and its metabolite azaperol, xylazine, haloperidol, acetpromazine, propionylpromazine, and chlorpromazine)

In the 2013 NRP, FSIS will employ a number of analytical methodologies to identify and quantitate veterinary drug residues. These methodologies, listed in the Appendix analyze individual compounds and compound classes. The selection of the specific compounds and compound classes was justified by public health concerns.

Public Health Concern for Veterinary Drugs

Antibiotics
An antibiotic is a chemical substance with the capability in dilute solutions to destroy or inhibit the growth of microorganisms. The widespread use of antibiotics over time has allowed microorganisms to adapt and develop resistance to these drugs.4,5 Hence, inappropriate use of and exposure to antibiotics can increase the risk of infections that resists antibiotic treatment.6 In addition, allergies to antibiotics have been reported in children and adults7 and use of antibiotics in infants has been associated with childhood asthma.8 FSIS tests different classes of antibiotics: aminoglycosides, β-lactams, fluoroquinolones, macrolides, tetracyclines, and sulfonamides.

Avermectins (Ivermectin and Doramectin) and Milbemycins (Moxidectin)
Avermectins (ivermectin and doramectin) and milbemycins (moxidectin) are macrocyclic lactones used in animal husbandry practices to prevent nematode and arthropod parasites. Ivermectin is an effective parasiticide. Doramectin is a potent endectocide that combines broad-spectrum activity with a prolonged duration of activity against the major internal and external parasites of cattle. Moxidectin is an antiparasitic drug that controls a range of internal and external parasites in sheep and cattle. Avermectins share their common antiparasitic activity via interaction at cell membrane receptors; mammals are less susceptible to the toxic effects because avermectins do not readily cross the blood-brain barrier. Nevertheless, adults and children are susceptible to effects on the nervous system ranging from nausea and vomiting, through dizziness, to coma and potentially death at high doses.9

β-Agonists (Clenbuterol, Cimaterol, Ractopamine, Salbutamol, and Zilpaterol)
β-Agonists are used for growth promotion in food animals, increasing lean muscle mass. Clenbuterol, a growth promotant, is not currently registered for use in livestock in the United States and is listed in AMDUCA as prohibited from extra-label use in animals intended for food. Ractopamine is used for increased rate of weight gain, improved feed efficiency, increased carcass leanness, and prevention and/or control of porcine proliferative enteropathies (ileitis). Zilpaterol is used for increased rate of weight gain, improved feed efficiency, and increased carcass leanness in cattle fed in confinement for slaughter during the last 20 to 40 days on feed. While the other β-agonists are approved for use in the United States, cimaterol and salbutamol are not approved for use in food animals. In humans, clenbuterol and

3 FSIS, in consultation with FDA, rotated sulfanitran out of the NRP beginning in CY 2005.
4 http://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/about.html
6 http://www.cdc.gov/getsmart/antibiotic-use/know-and-do.html
9 http://www.asiatox.org/6th%20APAMT%20pdf/Mectins%20poisioning%20vs%20Avermectin%20poisoning.pdf
salbutamol are used as bronchodilators by asthma sufferers and as performance-enhancing drugs by athletes. Human side effects include increased heart rate and blood pressure, anxiety, palpitation, and skeletal muscle tremors. The prolonged use of long-acting $\beta$-agonists can lead to the severe exacerbation of asthma symptoms$^{10}$.

**Carbadox**
Carbadox is a growth-promoting and antibacterial drug$^{11}$ approved to prevent or treat gut inflammation (enteritis), as well as to improve feed efficiency and weight gain in swine. Carbadox and some of its metabolites (desoxycarbadox and hydrazine) are genotoxic and carcinogenic in rodents; however, the final metabolite, quinoxaline-2-carboxylic acid (QCA) is not mutagenic or carcinogenic in animals. Based on the genotoxicity data, an acceptable daily intake has not been established for carbadox.$^{12}$

**Chloramphenicol**
Chloramphenicol is a potent, broad-spectrum antibiotic with severe toxic effects in humans: bone marrow suppression or aplastic anemia in susceptible individuals. While microorganisms have developed resistance to the drug, it is still used selectively to treat bacterial infections. This drug is AMDUCA-prohibited for extra label use in animals intended for food.

**Florfenicol**
Florfenicol is a broad-spectrum bacteriostatic antibiotic. It is typically used to treat cattle (bovine respiratory disease and foot rot)$^{13}$, but it has recently been approved for freshwater fish.$^{14}$ Horses and other equine animals may experience diarrhea. Toxicity studies in dogs, rats, and mice have associated the use of florfenicol with testicular degeneration and atrophy.$^{15}$

**Flunixin**
Flunixin is a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) with approved use in swine and cattle to alleviate inflammation and pain associated with musculoskeletal disorders. In general, NSAIDs in animals and humans can produce gastrointestinal (GI) side effects if the drug is taken at high doses over a prolonged period. GI ulceration is the most common side effect; however, kidney damage and bleeding problems can also occur.$^{16}$

**Nitrofurans**
Nitrofurans are synthetic chemotherapeutic agents with a broad antimicrobial spectrum.$^{17}$ Furalaltadone is a synthetic nitrofuran antibiotic used to prevent intestinal infections and mastitis. It is not approved for use in food-producing animals. Furazolidone, which has wide-ranging applicability, is used to treat intestinal infections and is AMMUCA-prohibited for extra-label use. In small calves, overuse can lead to neurotoxicity and result in head tremors, ataxia, visual impairment, and convulsions. Nitrofurans are potentially carcinogenic and are not generally recognized as safe under any conditions where animal product may become a component of food.$^{18}$

---

10 http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/ResourcesForYou/HealthProfessionals/ucm219161.htm
11 http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v27je07.htm
And http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v51je05.htm
12 http://www.inchem.org/documents/jecfa/jecmono/v51je05.htm
13 http://www.nufor.com/
15 http://intervetus.naccvp.com/?m=product_view&u=intervetus&p=intervetus&id=1047137
18 http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cms_ia/importalert_33.html
Veterinary Drugs Banned from Extra Label Use under AMDUCA

Veterinary drugs banned from extra label use under AMDUCA are of high public health concern. Therefore, these AMDUCA-prohibited veterinary drugs are not evaluated for inclusion using the ranking formula. Instead, all AMDUCA-prohibited veterinary drugs are assigned a high sampling priority and are included in the NRP if methodologies and resources are available.

For additional information on veterinary drugs, see the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21: Food and Drugs, Part 556: Tolerances for Residues of New Animal Drugs in Food (21 CFR 556).

B. Pesticides

For the 2013 NRP, SAT members from the EPA selected the candidate pesticides of concern from a pool of approximately 290 compounds/compound classes. FSIS prioritizes analyses, grouping together compounds detected with the same analytical methods. If FSIS believes that a compound that is not approved in the United States is being used in a foreign country, then the compound and country will be added to the IRSP.

In the 2013 NRP, FSIS will employ a number of analytical methodologies to identify and quantitate pesticide residues. These methodologies, listed in the Appendix, analyze individual compounds and compound classes. The selection of the specific compounds and compound classes was justified additionally by public health concerns.

Public Health Concern for Pesticides

Chlorinated hydrocarbons, chlorinated organophosphates, organophosphates, and pyrethroids are effective insecticides. Some of these compounds, such as dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), are no longer marketed in the United States because of their extremely slow degradation in the environment (long half-life), but are still approved for use in other countries to fight malaria. Organophosphates and pyrethroids affect the nervous system, generally by disrupting the enzyme that regulates the neurotransmitter, acetylcholine. Typical symptoms of acute intoxication are headaches, dizziness, muscle twitching, weakness, tingling sensations, and nausea. Children are at greater risk to some pesticides because their developing organs offer less protection than those of adults, they often eat different foods than adults, and they display different behaviors to adults. Due to the exposures noted, chlorinated hydrocarbons can cause cancer. Non-cancer effects in animals include effects on the immune system, the reproductive system, the nervous system and the endocrine system.

For additional information on pesticides, see the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40: Protection of Environment, Part 180: Tolerances and Exemptions for Pesticide Chemical Residues in Food (40 CFR 180).

C. Environmental Contaminants

SAT-selected environmental contaminants of concern currently include heavy metals. FSIS will conduct a targeted sampling assessment of heavy metals for the nine production classes for domestic sampling. This

---

19 http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/about/types.htm#chemical
21 http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/food/pest.htm
22 http://www.epa.gov/epawaste/hazard/tds/pcbs/pubs/effects.htm
targeted sampling follows lead and cadmium sampling that began in 2003 for heifers and dairy cows. This study continued in 2004 for boars and stags, dairy cows, heifers, and mature chickens.

**Public Health Concern for Metals**

Heavy metals accumulate in animal organs, such as kidney and liver, which can then be ingested by humans. Cadmium, for example, can cause irritation of the stomach in the short-term, and accumulation over time can cause kidney damage and decreased bone strength in adults. Similar effects can be expected in children. Cadmium and cadmium compounds are known carcinogens. Lead affects the nervous system in children and adults, which can lead to decreased performance in adults and developmental and behavioral effects in children. Lead exposure can also cause anemia. At extremely high exposure concentrations, the brain and kidneys can be severely damaged in both adults and children, and can be fatal.

The predominant dietary source of arsenic is seafood, followed by rice/rice cereal, mushrooms, and poultry. Ingestion of inorganic arsenic can cause gastrointestinal irritation and decreased red and white blood cell production, which can result in fatigue, abnormal heart rhythm, and nervous system effects; high oral doses can cause death. Similar effects are expected in children. Evidence suggests that following long-term exposure, children show lower IQ scores. Inorganic arsenic is a known human carcinogen.

For additional information on environmental contaminants, see the *Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21: Food and Drugs, Part 109: Unavoidable Contaminants in Food for Human Consumption and Food-Packaging Material* (21 CFR 109).

**II. Prioritizing Candidate Compounds for Testing**

**A. Veterinary Drugs**

After identification of AMDUCA drugs for high-priority compounds and compound classes, FSIS applied practical considerations to determine the compounds for sampling. In addition, FSIS considered the availability of laboratory resources and appropriate analytical methods within the FSIS laboratories. FSIS has scheduled the following veterinary drugs for FY 2013 domestic sampling:

- Veterinary Drugs in the Multi-Residue Method*
- Aminoglycosides
- Arsenicals
- Avermectins
- β-Agonists
- Carbadox
- Nitrofurans

*Veterinary Drugs in the Multi-Residue Method:
- **Analgesic/Anti-inflammatory** - oxyphenylbutazone, flunixin, phenylbutazone, dexamethasone
- β-**Agonist** - salbutamol, cimaterol, ractopamine
- β-**Lactam/Cephalosporin** - amoxicillin, cefazolin, desfuroyleftiofur (DCCD), ampicillin, penicillin G, oxacillin, cloxacillin, naftillin, dicloxacillin
- **Fluoroquinolones** - desethylen ciprofloxacin, norflaxacin, ciprofloxacin, danofloxacin, enrofloxacin, sarafloxacin and difloxacin;

---

• **Hormones** - prednisone, melengestrol acetate, zeranol
• **Macrolide/Lincosamide** - lincomycin, pirlimycin, clindamycin, gamithromycin, tilmicosin, erythromycin, tylosin, tulathromycin
• **Phenicol** - florfenicol and chloramphenicol;
• **Sulfonamide** - sulfadiazine, sulfathiazole, sulfapyridine, sulfamerazine, sulfamethizole, sulfamethazine, sulfamethoxyprazidazine, sulfachloropyridazine, sulfadoxine, sulfamethoxazole, sulfamethoxypyridazine, sulfadimethoxine, sulfquinoloxaline, sulfanitran;
• **Tetracycline** - oxytetracaine, tetracyline, chlortetracycline
• **General Drugs** - 2-quinoxaline carboxylic acid (QCA)

### B. Pesticides

After identification of high-priority compounds and compound classes, FSIS applied practical considerations to determine the compounds for sampling. In addition, FSIS considered the availability of laboratory resources and appropriate analytical methods within the FSIS laboratories. FSIS has scheduled the following pesticides for 2013 domestic sampling and are now in the improved pesticide method:

FSIS has implemented a new pesticide method that includes the following compounds:

- 3-hydroxyxycarbofuran, acephate, alachlor, aldrin, azinphos methyl, bifenthrin, boscalid, carbaryl, carbofuran, carfentrazone ethyl, cis-chlordane, trans-chlordane, chlorpyrifos, chlorpyrifos methyl, clofentezine, L-cyhalothrin, cypermethrin, deltamethrin, dichlorvos (DDVP), dieldrin, difenoconazole, diflubenzuron, diuron, endosulfan I, endosulfan II, endosulfan sulfate, ethofumesate, fipronil, heptachlor, cis-heptachlor epoxide, trans-heptachlor epoxide, imazalil, imidacloprid, indoxacarb, linuron, metalaxyl, metalomyl, methoxyfenozide, mirex, myclobutanil, trans-nonachlor, norflurazon, oxychlorodane, permethrin (cis & trans), piperonyl butoxide, pronamide, propanil, propiconazole, pyridaben, simazine, tebufenozide, tefluthrin, tetrachlorvinphos, tetracsonazole, thiabendazole, thiamethoxam.

The high priority compounds chosen for the IRSP are the same as the domestic plan. After identifying high-priority compounds and compound classes, FSIS applies other considerations to determine which compounds to sample, specifically the availability of analytical methods within the FSIS laboratories.

### III. Variables for Domestic Products

#### A. Veterinary Drugs

**Regulatory Concern**

Based on regulatory intelligence information (e.g., FDA on farm investigations) about possible misuse of veterinary drugs, FSIS makes professional judgments about the likelihood violations may occur. By conferring with subject matter experts, FSIS synthesizes information and recommends the level of regulatory concern.

**Withdrawal Time**

Producers using veterinary drugs approved for food animals are required to follow “conditions of use.” Each veterinary drug in the approved production class specifies the dosing regimen and the withdrawal time. The withdrawal time, which is the number of days that must pass between completion of the dosing regimen and the time of slaughter, provides sufficient time for the concentration of the veterinary drug in the animal to decrease below the tolerance. For unapproved veterinary drugs, scores in this category were assigned based on estimates of the drug’s half-life. Approved veterinary drugs were scored as follows:
Impact on New and Existing Human Disease
The use or misuse of a veterinary drug in food animals may contribute to new and existing human disease by changing the patterns of antibiotic resistance in human pathogens.

Relative Number of Animals Treated
Animal treatment scores are based on economic data of doses sold, as well as surveys of treatment practices in animal populations that are representative of cattle feedlots, dairy, poultry, and swine production. Where data were unavailable, scores were estimated, based on comparison to related veterinary drugs with known usage levels. Numbers estimated in this way are in parentheses.

Acute or Chronic Toxicity Concerns
Compound toxicity and the severity associated with the compound’s toxic endpoint.

B. Pesticides

Regulatory Concern
EPA’s professional assessment of the extent to which the acute or chronic dietary exposure to this compound may exceed the level of concern established by the EPA. For compounds other than carcinogens, this was determined by comparing either the compound’s Acute or Chronic Population Adjusted Dose (PAD) (whichever was lower) to the estimated level of exposure. The Acute and Chronic PADs are calculated as described below, and both carry uncertainty spanning an order of magnitude or greater.

The Acute Reference Dose (Acute RfD) estimates a single oral exposure level for the human population (including sensitive subpopulations) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects.

The Chronic Reference Dose (Chronic RfD) estimates a daily oral exposure level for the human population (including sensitive subpopulations) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime.

The Acute and Chronic RfDs are calculated by dividing the No Observed Adverse Effect Level\(^24\) (NOAEL) or the Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level\(^25\) (LOAEL) by Uncertainty Factors. This calculation accounts for differences between individual humans (intraspecies variability) and for differences between the test animals and humans (interspecies extrapolation). If the LOAEL is used, an additional Uncertainty Factor is required.

\[
\text{RfD} = \frac{\text{NOAEL or LOAEL}}{\text{Total UF}}
\]

The Acute and Chronic Population Adjusted Dose (PAD) are the Acute and Chronic RfD, respectively, modified by the Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) Safety Factor:

\[
\text{Acute or Chronic PAD} = \frac{\text{Acute or Chronic RfD}}{\text{FQPA Safety Factor}}
\]

The acute and chronic dietary risks are expressed as a percentage of the Acute or Chronic PAD. A dietary risk of 100% of the Acute or Chronic PAD (whichever is lower) is the target level of exposure that should not be exceeded. In the following, PAD is defined by the lower value, either the Acute or Chronic PADs.

\(^{24}\) The highest dose that gave no observable adverse effect.
\(^{25}\) The lowest dose at which an adverse effect was seen.
**Pre-Slaughter Interval**

For pesticides that have been approved for direct dermal application, the pre-slaughter interval is the required time between the last dermal application and the time of slaughter.

**Bioconcentration Factor**

Bioconcentration is a measure of a compound’s relative affinity for fat, as measured by the $K_{ow}$. The $K_{ow}$ is defined as the logarithm of the partition coefficient between octanol and water ($\log P_{ow}$). Compounds that have a high affinity for octanol (and thus a high $K_{ow}$) tend to bioaccumulate in body fat. A bioconcentration value is determined according to the following criteria:

**Endocrine Disruption**

Endocrine disruption measures the extent that the compound changes endocrine function and causes adverse effects to individual organisms and/or their progeny, as well as to organism populations and subpopulations.

**Toxicity**

The toxicity value represents EPA’s professional judgment of the toxicity of the compound, including both the dose required to achieve a toxic effect and the severity of the toxic effect.

The categories of “Regulatory Concern,” “Pre-slaughter Interval,” and “Bioconcentration Factor” predict risk per unit of consumption from pesticides in animal products. The “Regulatory Concern” category reflects EPA’s professional judgment of the likelihood that a compound or compound class will exceed EPA’s level of concern in meat, poultry, or egg products. Thus, the category combines residue level and toxicity information.

FSIS expects that the “Withdrawal Time” category for veterinary drugs and the “Pre-slaughter Interval” category will correlate with residue level. The longer pre-slaughter intervals are less likely to be observed properly, and the carcass may contain violative levels of residues.

“Bioconcentration” measures the extent to which a pesticide concentrates within the fat deposits of animals. Pesticides that bioconcentrate are more likely to accumulate to higher levels within animal tissue, which is expected to increase the potential for human exposure. The "Toxicity" category reflects both the dose required to achieve a toxic effect and the severity of that effect.

**IV. Allocation of Sampling Resources for Veterinary Drugs and Pesticides**

**Domestic:**

Table 1 lists the estimated consumption of each production class as a percentage of the total consumption of all the production classes in the table. These estimates were developed based on production data for animals and egg products that were presented for slaughter (or processing) in federally inspected establishments during CY-2012 as a surrogate for consumption. The production data for calves were collected, collated, and reported by FSIS using the Automated Disposition Reporting System (ADRS). The production data for all other production classes were collected by FSIS and were collated and reported by the USDA National Agricultural Statistical Service (NASS). The estimated relative percent of consumption represented by each production class was calculated by dividing the estimated total annual U.S. domestic production (pounds dressed weight) for that class by the total poundage for all production classes.
FSIS established a relative sampling priority for each compound and production class by multiplying the ranking score for the chlorinated hydrocarbons and chlorinated organophosphates (CHC/COP) by the estimated relative percent of domestic consumption for each production class. This calculation is identical to the calculation of the relative sampling priorities for veterinary drugs. The result does not constitute an estimate of risk; instead, it provides a numerical representation of the relative public health concern associated with each compound and production class; FSIS can use this information to prioritize analytical sampling resources. This risk ranking is based upon average consumption across the entire U.S. population, rather than upon maximally exposed individuals.

Import:
Residue analysis samples for imported egg products are selected in a different manner than the other product classes. In order to establish a history of compliance with the U.S. requirements for each category of egg product, the first 10 shipments from individual foreign establishments are subjected to 100 percent reinspection. If the egg product is in compliance, the rate of inspection is reduced to a random selection of one reinspeions out of eight product lots from each foreign establishment. This reinspeion rate continues as long as the product is in compliance. FSIS is not testing imported egg products in 2013.

Table 2 lists the estimated amount and percentage of all the product classes imported into the United States. The data for the product classes were obtained from the Automated Import Information System (AIIS). The percent of each product class imported annually is calculated from the amount of product class imported per all meat, poultry, and egg imports. The relative sampling priority is calculated by multiplying the percent product class imported by the veterinary drug scores.

FSIS will not test (1) processed products from eligible foreign countries that also ship fresh products to the United States and (2) processed products from countries that source all their raw materials from other foreign countries that are eligible to ship fresh product and are actively exporting to the United States. Processed products that are not tested due to this policy include:
(a) processed beef from Australia, Canada, Costa Rica, Mexico, New Zealand, and Uruguay;
(b) processed veal from Australia, Canada, and New Zealand;
(c) processed pork from Canada, Denmark, Mexico, the Netherlands, Poland, and Spain;
(d) processed mutton and lamb from Australia, Canada, and New Zealand;
(e) processed chicken from Canada and Mexico;
(f) processed turkey from Canada;
(g) other processed fowl from Canada and France; or
(h) processed varied combination products from Canada.

V. Next Generation Selection and Ranking Process

FSIS is currently undertaking a process to move away from the older process of relative ranking and pairing. Historically, FSIS methods tested for a single compound (analyte) and lacked the efficiency to meet current regulatory needs and deadlines. The recent advances and diversity in methodology have enabled FSIS to migrate to a new process for the identification and prioritization of hazards of public health concern. The proposed identification and prioritization approach is based, in part, on the EPA contaminant candidate list (CCL) process under the Safe Water Drinking Act.

To determine the “universe” of chemicals, FSIS will conduct searches and compile data, identifying hazards tested by U. S. federal agencies, international agencies, and specific countries. FSIS also will take into account concerns of consumer groups and the public. To compile data on the universe of hazards, FSIS will search for databases containing toxicity and exposure data for veterinary drugs, pesticides, environmental contaminants, and human exposure.
To estimate risk, FSIS uses this basic equation: risk to public health = toxicity × occurrence.

Toxicity is how much of the hazard is too much (potency) and what kind of effect the hazard has \textit{in vivo} (severity). Occurrence is exposure to the animal, in terms of how much is out there (magnitude) and how widespread it is (prevalence). Once the data have been gathered, FSIS will employ an appropriate model to prioritize the chemical hazards for consideration in the NRP.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production Class</th>
<th>Number of Head Slaughtered(^\text{26})</th>
<th>Average Pounds per Animal (dressed weight)(^\text{27})</th>
<th>Total Pounds (dressed weight)</th>
<th>Percent Estimated Relative Consumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bulls</td>
<td>563,950</td>
<td>877</td>
<td>494,584,150</td>
<td>0.447%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef cows</td>
<td>3,351,200</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>2,037,529,600</td>
<td>1.842%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy cows</td>
<td>3,116,425</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>1,894,680,608</td>
<td>1.713%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heifers</td>
<td>9,265,425</td>
<td>792</td>
<td>7,338,237,984</td>
<td>6.636%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steers</td>
<td>16,152,358</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>13,874,875,522</td>
<td>12.547%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob veal</td>
<td>368,697</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>27,652,275</td>
<td>0.025%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula-fed veal</td>
<td>321,767</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>78,832,915</td>
<td>0.071%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-formula-fed veal</td>
<td>10,602</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>3,710,700</td>
<td>0.003%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy calves</td>
<td>31,384</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>12,553,600</td>
<td>0.011%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal, Cattle</strong></td>
<td><strong>33,181,661</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>25,762,657,354</strong></td>
<td><strong>23.297%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market hogs</td>
<td>108,131,133</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>21,950,619,999</td>
<td>19.849%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roaster pigs</td>
<td>797,220</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>55,805,400</td>
<td>0.500%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boars/Stags</td>
<td>420,845</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>87,535,760</td>
<td>0.797%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sows</td>
<td>3,034,518</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>928,562,508</td>
<td>0.840%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal, Swine</strong></td>
<td><strong>112,383,716</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>23,022,523,667</strong></td>
<td><strong>20.819%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambs</td>
<td>1,867,537</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>138,197,738</td>
<td>0.125%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>145,217</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>9,293,888</td>
<td>0.008%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goats</td>
<td>557,793</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>27,889,650</td>
<td>0.025%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal, Ovine</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,570,547</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>175,381,276</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.159%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bison</td>
<td>40,898</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>31,736,848</td>
<td>0.029%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, All Livestock</strong></td>
<td><strong>148,176,822</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>48,992,299,145</strong></td>
<td><strong>44.303%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young chickens</td>
<td>8,502,858,100</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>48,462,298,303</td>
<td>43.823%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mature chickens</td>
<td>145,908,292</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>829,201,396</td>
<td>0.750%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young turkeys</td>
<td>253,906,092</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>7,459,786,971</td>
<td>6.746%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mature turkeys</td>
<td>1,597,544</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>41,969,532</td>
<td>0.038%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ducks</td>
<td>24,301,699</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>165,046,399</td>
<td>0.149%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geese</td>
<td>192,114</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>2,295,201</td>
<td>0.002%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other fowl (include ratites)</td>
<td>2,659,751</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>3,028,847</td>
<td>0.003%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal, Poultry</strong></td>
<td><strong>8,931,423,592</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>56,963,626,649</strong></td>
<td><strong>51.511%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbits</td>
<td>640,673</td>
<td>Not Reported</td>
<td>1,830,105</td>
<td>0.002%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg products</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>4,627,887,131</td>
<td>4.185%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL, ALL PRODUCTION CLASSES</strong></td>
<td><strong>110,585,643,030</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This table aims to estimate, for each individual production class for which FSIS has regulatory responsibility, the amount of domestically-produced product consumed relative to the total for all of these production classes. FSIS estimated this value by assuming that the relative amount of each production class consumed would be approximately proportional to the total poundage (based on dressed weight) of each production class presented for slaughter or processing in federally inspected establishments. Dressed weight, which represents the weight of the carcass after the hide, hoof, hair, and viscera have been removed, was used instead of live weight, because the former was thought to be more closely representative of total pounds consumed. Note: This table estimates the amount of domestically produced product that is consumed, regardless of who consumes it (i.e., no distinction is made between domestic products consumed domestically and products that are exported).

\(^{26}\) Number of heads is obtained from the Animal Disposition Reporting System (ADRS) and the Public Health Information System (PHIS).

\(^{27}\) Average dressed weights are obtained from the publication “Livestock Slaughter 2012 Summary” – April 2013- and “Poultry Slaughter 2012 Summary” – Feb 2013- by National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS). In the absence of average weight, an average weight based on the previous calendar year’s data was imputed.
Table 2
Estimated Annual Amount of Product Imported
2013 NRP, Import Reinspection Sampling Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Amount imported (in pounds)</th>
<th>Percent of all imported product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beef, fresh</td>
<td>1,956,753,502</td>
<td>56.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef, processed</td>
<td>109,182,020</td>
<td>3.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veal, fresh</td>
<td>59,930,343</td>
<td>1.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veal, processed</td>
<td>18,732</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pork, fresh</td>
<td>716,750,524</td>
<td>20.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pork, processed</td>
<td>142,842,702</td>
<td>4.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamb/Mutton, fresh</td>
<td>189,884,367</td>
<td>5.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamb/Mutton, processed</td>
<td>279,766</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat, fresh</td>
<td>36,114,425</td>
<td>1.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken, fresh</td>
<td>113,485,141</td>
<td>3.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken, processed</td>
<td>62,788,734</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey, fresh</td>
<td>20,164,060</td>
<td>0.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey, processed</td>
<td>3,784,345</td>
<td>0.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratite, fresh</td>
<td>216,044</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Fowl, fresh</td>
<td>3,354,006</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Fowl, processed</td>
<td>347,987</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varied combination, fresh</td>
<td>538,178</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varied combination, processed</td>
<td>17,434,213</td>
<td>0.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,433,869,089</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix

FSIS Laboratory Analytical Methods

FSIS uses analytical methods to detect, identify, and quantify residues that may be present in meat, poultry, and processed egg products. The Agency uses these methods for monitoring and surveillance activities to determine product adulteration and for human risk assessment evaluations. The Agency uses available methodologies to take appropriate regulatory action against adulterated products in a manner consistent with the reliability of the analytical data. The link below lists the analytical methods and provides access to each method.

View the FSIS Analytical Chemistry Laboratory Guidebook here.