

Electronically Submitted on September 11, 2024 FedEx Tracking:7785 1433 6727

September 11, 2024

Mr. Wayne H. Kreisl Sr., President USA Pork Packers Inc. (Est. M20760) 328 South Wyoming Street Hazelton, Pennsylvania 18201 <u>usapork@epix.net</u> Ph: (570) 501-7675

### NOTICE OF SUSPENSION

Attention: Wayne H. Kreisl Sr., President

This letter serves as official notification by the Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) of our decision to withhold the federal marks of inspection and suspend the assignment of Inspection Program Personnel (IPP) at **USA Pork Packers Inc.**, located at 328 South Wyoming Street, Hazelton, Pennsylvania 18201.

This letter follows verbal notification of the suspension action, provided by Mr. Joseph Schein, Deputy District Manager (DDM) to (b) (6) establishment administrator, at approximately 1645 hours on September 10, 2024. This action includes the Pork (swine) Slaughter Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) process employed at your establishment.

The decision to institute this enforcement action is in accordance with Title 9 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Rules of Practice 500.3(b), based on the determination that your establishment did not handle or slaughter animals humanely. The evidence demonstrates failure to comply with the Federal Meat Inspection Act (FMIA) (21 U.S.C. 603), the Humane Methods of Slaughter Act (HMSA) (7 U.S.C. 1901 *et seq.*), and the regulatory requirements (9 CFR Part 313).

#### Background/Authority

The FMIA (21 USC 601 *et seq.*) provides it is essential to the public interest that the health and welfare of consumers be protected, by assuring meat products distributed to them are wholesome, not adulterated, and properly marked, and labeled. The Act gives FSIS the authority, as designated by the Secretary of Agriculture, to prescribe rules and regulations describing sanitation requirements for inspected establishments and provide FSIS program personnel the authority to refuse to allow meat/meat food products to be marked, labeled, stamped, or tagged as *inspected and passed*, to prevent the entry of adulterated products into commerce. Furthermore, the FMIA provides FSIS the authority to appoint inspectors to examine and inspect the method by which livestock are slaughtered and handled at slaughtering establishments. The HMSA provides that Congress finds that the use of humane methods in the slaughter of livestock prevents needless suffering; results in safer and better working conditions for persons engaged in the slaughtering industry; brings about improvement of products and economies in slaughtering operations; and produces other benefits for producers, processors, and consumers which tend to expedite an orderly flow of livestock and livestock products in interstate and foreign commerce. It is therefore declared to be the policy of the United States that the slaughtering of livestock and the handling of livestock in connection with slaughter shall be carried out only by humane methods.

Under the authority of the Acts, FSIS has prescribed rules and regulations required for establishments slaughtering and handling livestock, as required by 9 CFR Part 313. FSIS has also developed the Rules of Practice regarding administrative enforcement, 9 CFR Part 500. The Rules of Practice describe the types of enforcement actions that FSIS may take and include procedures for taking a withholding action and/or suspension, with or without prior notification, and for filing a complaint to withdraw a grant of inspection. Specifically, 9 CFR 500.3(b) states that FSIS may impose a suspension without prior notification due to handling or slaughtering of animals inhumanely.

# **Findings/Basis for Action**

On Tuesday, September 10, 2024, at approximately 1445 hours during hog slaughter operations at USA Pork Packers Inc. (Est. M20760) the following egregious humane handling non-compliance was observed.

The Public Health Veterinarian (PHV) was standing near the stunning box and shackle/bleed line, observing establishment employees stun a market hog. The hog was stunned using an electric stunner behind the ears (head) and one behind the right elbow area (chest) per the establishment's stunning protocol. This caused an effective stun, evidenced by the collapse of the animal. The hog was then rolled out onto a stainless-steel platform, a shackle was placed on the right rear leg, the animal was hoisted onto the motorized line, switched to the gravity line, and headed toward the employee that makes the cut for bleeding the animal. During that time, the hog showed no signs of consciousness. While on the way to the bleeding area, the hog stopped behind a lineup of several other unconscious hogs on the line. At this time, prior to being cut, the hog showed clonic/tonic convulsions. The shackle disengaged from the line and the hog fell approximately four and a half  $(4 \frac{1}{2})$  feet to the platform below, landing on its back. The hog had several more convulsions while still on its back, then quickly righted itself onto its sternum. The hog proceeded to jumped up and ran across the platform approximately ten to fifteen (10-15) feet in total. An employee was able to reach the hog with the same electric stunner and performed an effective head stun to the hog behind the ears. After this effective stun, the hog was then bled out.

The PHV proceeded to inform establishment employees that there was noncompliance with 9 CFR 313.30(a)(1), 313.30(a)(4), and 313.2(f) and there should be no more stunning. U.S Reject tags were placed at the stunning box, the lane going into the (stunning) box, and at the employee entrance to the stunning area. The following tag numbers were used: No. B-45 592574, No. B-45 592575, No B-45 592573. The PHV also notified the establishment manager of the noncompliance as well.

This establishment did previously have a Robust Written Systematic Approach to Humane Handling.

## Summary and Conclusion

Provisions of the FMIA outline FSIS' ability to refuse to render inspection and indefinitely withdraw inspection from an establishment, provided the establishment is afforded the right to an administrative hearing, when conditions exist where the slaughter and/or handling of livestock was not by a method in accordance with the Act(s). Evidence demonstrates your failure to meet regulatory requirements in accordance with 9 CFR 313.30(a)(1), 313.30(a)(4), and 313.2(f) constituting a violation of the humane slaughter requirements and supporting the conclusion that your handling of livestock violated the provisions of the FMIA and HMSA.

Please provide a written response, inclusive of written corrective action and preventative measures, by addressing the following:

- Evaluate and identify the nature, cause of the incident.
- Describe the specific actions taken to eliminate the cause of the incident and prevent future recurrences.
- Describe specific monitoring activities planned to ensure future compliance.
- Provide any supporting documentation and records maintained and/or associated with the proposed corrective actions and preventative measures.

A determination of further administrative action will be made upon receipt and review of your submitted corrective actions and preventative measures. You are reminded that, as an operator of a federally inspected establishment, you are expected to comply with FSIS regulations and to take appropriate corrective actions to prevent the production of or adulterated products at your establishment. Please be advised that your failure to respond adequately to these issues may result in our initiating action to withdraw inspection from your establishment.

# Appeal and Hearing Rights

You have the right to appeal this matter and can do so by contacting:

Ms. Melissa Moore Executive Associate for Regulatory Operations Office of Field Operations Food Safety and Inspection Service United States Department of Agriculture 1400 Independence Avenue, SW SB, Room1222 Washington, DC 20250 Email: melissa.moore1@usda.gov Phone: (202) 450-0330 Pursuant to 9 CFR 500.5(d), you may also request a hearing regarding this determination. Should you request a hearing, FSIS will file a complaint that will include a request for an expedited hearing. If you wish to request a hearing regarding this determination, please contact:

Mr. Scott C. Safian, Director Enforcement Operations Staff (EOS) Office of Investigation, Enforcement and Audit (OIEA) Food Safety and Inspection Service United States Department of Agriculture Stop Code 3753, SB - Room 2148 1400 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20250 Telephone: (202) 418-8872 Facsimile: (202) 245-5097 E-mail: EOSCorrespondence@usda.gov

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact Mr. Joseph Schein, DDM, via electronic mail at joseph.schein@usda.gov or by telephone at (267) 807-7539. Additionally, you can contact the Philadelphia District Office at (800) 637-6681or by fax at (215) 597-4217.

Sincerely,

GEORGE SLOBODJIAN Digitally signed by GEORGE SLOBODJIAN Date: 2024.09.11 08:43:07 -04'00'

Mr. George Slobodjian Acting District Manager CC:

Ms. Melissa Moore, EARO, FSIS, OFO, Washington D.C.

Mr. Joseph Priore, RD, FSIS, OIEA, Northeast Region

Mr. George Slobodjian, DDM (acting DM), FSIS, OFO, Philadelphia District Office

Mr. Joseph Schein, DDM, FSIS, OFO, Philadelphia District Office

Dr. Beth Lehman, FLS (acting DDM), FSIS, OFO, Philadelphia District

Mr. Scott Benek, DCS, FSIS, OFO, Philadelphia District Office

, SEIAO, FSIS, OFO, Philadelphia District Office , FLS, FSIS, OFO, Philadelphia District

(b) (6) (b) (6) ,P (b) (6) ,0

, PHV, FSIS, OFO, Philadelphia District

, CSI, FSIS, OFO, Philadelphia District

Official Files Establishment Folder – Est. M20760

FSIS - FO/Quarterly Enforcement