United States Department of Agriculture

Certified Mail

Food Safety and Inspection Service

Office of Field Operations

Atlanta District Office

100 Alabama St., SW. Suite 3R90 Atlanta, GA. 30303 Phone: 404-562-5900 Fax: 404-562-5877 Toll Free: 800-282-7005

November 4, 2024

Ms. Kathryn Bell, Owner (CP) Mr. Wayne Bell, Owner (CP) Mr. Willie Dwight Hobby, Owner (CP) Towson Cold Storage, Est. M18731 301 South Ridge Ave. Tifton, GA 31794 **b**) (**6**) agmail.com towsons1983@gmail.com

Attention:

Ms. Kathryn Bell, Owner (CP), Mr. Wayne Bell, Owner (CP) Mr. Willie Dwight Hobby, Owner (CP)

NOTICE OF SUSPENSION

This letter serves as official notification by the Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) of our decision to withhold the federal marks of inspection and suspend the assignment of Inspection Program Personnel (IPP) at Towson Cold Storage, Est. M18731, 301 South Ridge Ave., Tifton, GA 31794. This letter follows verbal notification of the suspension action, provided by (b) (6) Area Supervisor for Georgia Department of Agriculture on November 1, 2024. This action includes your Slaughter Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) processes employed at your establishment.

The decision to institute this enforcement action is in accordance with Title 9 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Rules of Practice 500.3(b), based on the determination that your establishment did not handle or slaughter animals humanely. The evidence demonstrates failure to comply with the Federal Meat Inspection Act (FMIA) (21 U.S.C. 603), the Humane Methods of Slaughter Act (HMSA) (7 U.S.C. 1901 et seq.), and the regulatory requirements (9 CFR Part 313).

Background/Authority

The FMIA (21 USC 601 et seq.) provides it is essential to the public interest that the health and welfare of consumers be protected, by assuring meat products distributed to them are wholesome, not adulterated, and properly marked, and labeled. The Act gives FSIS the authority, as designated by the Secretary of Agriculture, to prescribe rules and regulations describing sanitation requirements for inspected establishments and provide FSIS

program personnel the authority to refuse to allow meat/meat food products to be marked, labeled, stamped, or tagged as *inspected and passed*, to prevent the entry of adulterated products into commerce. Furthermore, the FMIA provides FSIS the authority to appoint inspectors to examine and inspect the method by which livestock are slaughtered and handled at slaughtering establishments.

The HMSA provides that Congress finds that the use of humane methods in the slaughter of livestock prevents needless suffering; results in safer and better working conditions for persons engaged in the slaughtering industry; brings about improvement of products and economies in slaughtering operations; and produces other benefits for producers, processors, and consumers which tend to expedite an orderly flow of livestock and livestock products in interstate and foreign commerce. It is therefore declared to be the policy of the United States that the slaughtering of livestock and the handling of livestock in connection with slaughter shall be carried out only by humane methods.

Under the authority of the Acts, FSIS has prescribed rules and regulations required for establishments slaughtering and handling livestock, as required by 9 CFR Part 313. FSIS has also developed the Rules of Practice regarding administrative enforcement, 9 CFR Part 500. The Rules of Practice describe the types of enforcement actions that FSIS may take and include procedures for taking a withholding action and/or suspension, with or without prior notification, and for filing a complaint to withdraw a grant of inspection. Specifically, 9 CFR 500.3(b) states that FSIS may impose a suspension without providing prior notification due to handling or slaughtering of animals inhumanely.

Findings/Basis for Action

On November 1, 2024, at approximately 1114 hours Inspection Program Personnel (IPP) observed the following noncompliance: A steer was placed in the head catch and plant personnel attempted to stun the animal with a captive bolt. The first stun did not render the steer unconscious. The steer remained standing, blood was observed coming out of both nostrils, and the animal vocalized. Plant personnel reloaded the captive bolt and fired it a second time. The second stun did not render the steer unconscious. The steer remained to come from the nostrils, and the animal continued to vocalize. Plant personnel reloaded the captive bolt and attempted to stun the steer. The third stun did not render the steer unconscious. The steer remained standing, continued to vocalize, and blood was observed flowing from both nostrils. Plant personnel reloaded the captive bolt, and the fourth stun effectively rendered the steer unconscious. U.S. reject tag #B25733272 was applied to the stunning area to prevent any additional animals from being slaughtered. Plant management was verbally notified of the noncompliance with 9 CFR 313.15(a)(1) and (a) (2). Plant personnel skinned the head and on postmortem inspection four distinct points of entry were identified on the skull. The

establishment's Robust Systematic Approach (RSA) was not effectively implemented as designed.

On September 9, 2004, FSIS published "Humane Handling and Slaughter Requirements and the Merits of a Systematic Approach to Meet Such Requirements" in the Federal Register (69 FR 54625). On September 24, 2020, FSIS released FSIS Directive 6900.2 Revision 3, entitled, "Humane Handling and Slaughter of Livestock." Additionally, on October 23, 2013, FSIS introduced new guidance, titled "FSIS Compliance Guide for a Systematic Approach to the Humane Handling of Livestock." Within the guidance material is information intended to better ensure the humane treatment of livestock presented for slaughter. The guidance material provides a set of practices designed to minimize excitement, discomfort, and accidental injury regarding the humane handling of livestock to include the four components of a robust systematic approach to humane handling. When an establishment maintains a robust humane handling program, FSIS can exercise regulatory discretion when an inhumane handling or slaughter incident is observed. You do not currently maintain a written robust humane handling program for consideration.

Summary and Conclusion

Provisions of the FMIA outline FSIS' ability to refuse to render inspection and indefinitely withdraw inspection from an establishment, provided the establishment is afforded the right to an administrative hearing, when conditions exist where the slaughter and/or handling of livestock was not by a method in accordance with the Act(s). Evidence demonstrates your failure to meet regulatory requirements addressed in 9 CFR 313.16(a)(1) and 9CFR 31316(a)(3) constituting a violation of the humane slaughter requirements and supporting the conclusion that your handling of livestock violated the provisions of the FMIA and HMSA.

Please provide a written response, inclusive of written corrective action and preventative measures, by addressing the following:

- Evaluate and identify the nature, cause of the incident.
- Describe the specific actions taken to eliminate the cause of the incident and prevent future recurrences.
- Describe specific monitoring activities planned to ensure future compliance.
- Provide any supporting documentation and records maintained and/or associated with the proposed corrective actions and preventative measures.

A determination of further administrative action will be made upon receipt and review of your submitted corrective actions and preventative measures. You are reminded

that, as an operator of a federally inspected establishment, you are expected to comply with FSIS regulations and to take appropriate corrective actions to prevent the production of or adulterated products at your establishment. Please be advised that your failure to respond adequately to these issues may result in initiation of action to withdraw inspection from your establishment.

Appeal and Hearing Rights

You have the right to appeal this matter and can do so by contacting:

Sherri N. Johnson Executive Associate for Regulatory Operations Office of Field Operations Food Safety and Inspection Service United States Department of Agriculture SB, Room 3162 1400 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20250 E-mail: <u>Sherri.Johnson@usda.gov</u> Telephone: (202) 379-6196

Pursuant to 9 CFR 500.5(d), you may also request a hearing regarding this determination. Should you request a hearing, FSIS will file a complaint that will include a request for an expedited hearing. If you wish to request a hearing regarding this determination, please contact:

Scott C. Safian, Director Enforcement Operations Staff (EOS) Office of Investigation, Enforcement and Audit (OIEA) Food safety and Inspection Service United States Department of Agriculture Stop Code 3753, SB – Room 2148 1400 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20250 Telephone: (202) 418-8872 Facsimile: (202) 245-5097 E-mail: <u>AEBCorrespondence@usda.gov</u>

If you have any questions, please contact Dr. Phyllis Adams via telephone at (404) 562-5900 or via electronic mail at <u>Phyllis.Adams@usda.gov</u>. Additionally, you can contact the Atlanta District Office at (404) 562-5900 or by fax at (404) 562-5877.

Sincerely, PHYLLIS Digitally signed by PHYLLIS ADAMS ADAMS Date: 2024.11.04 I203:50-0500' Dr. Phyllis Adams District Manager Notice of Suspension November 4, 2024

Notice of Suspension November 4, 2024

Ms. Kathryn Bell, Owner (CP) Mr. Wayne Bell, Owner (CP) Mr. Willie Dwight Hobby, Owner (CP) Towson Cold Storage, Est. M18731

Cc: Sherri Johnson, EARO Phyllis Adams, DM , Humane Handling Enforcement Coordinator (b) (6) Larry Hortert, OIEA Regional Director Edward Hepburn, DDM Barney Welch, DDM Tracy Bryant, DDM , SEIAO (b) (6) Geneva Dennis, DCS , DVMS 6 , FLS FO/Quarterly Reports