



United States Department of Agriculture

Food Safety and
Inspection Service

Office of Field
Operations

Springdale District

4700 S. Thompson
Suite B-201
Springdale, AR 72764
Voice 479-751-8412
Fax 479-751-9049

March 17, 2020

Via Email: timwhisler1950@gmail.com
FedEx Tracking: 770038576660

Mr. Tim Whisler, Owner
Warner Locker Inc., Est. M8703
340 Southeast Offut Rd.
Maysville, MO 64469

NOTICE OF INTENDED ENFORCEMENT

Dear Mr. Whisler,

This serves as official notification by the Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) of our intent to withhold the marks of inspection and suspend the assignment of inspection personnel with prior notification for your Slaughter process at Est. M8703, Warner Locker Inc., located at 340 Southeast Offut Rd., Maysville, MO 64469, as per Title 9 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 500.3(b) (Rules of Practice). This Notice of Intended Enforcement (NOIE) is based on your failure to prevent inhumane handling and slaughter of livestock at your facility as required by 9 CFR 313.16(a)(1) and 313.16(a)(3).

Background / Authority

In 7 U.S.C. 1901, the Humane Methods of Slaughter Act (Humane Slaughter Act) states: *The Congress finds that the use of humane methods in the slaughter of livestock prevents needless suffering; results in safer and better working conditions for persons engaged in the slaughtering industry; brings about improvement of products and economies in slaughtering operations; and produces other benefits for producers, processors, and consumers which tend to expedite an orderly flow of livestock and livestock products in interstate and foreign commerce. It is therefore declared to be the policy of the United States that the slaughtering of livestock and the handling of livestock in connection with slaughter shall be carried out only by humane methods.*

FSIS has developed Rules of Practice regarding enforcement (9 CFR Part 500) that describe the types of enforcement action that FSIS may take and include procedures for taking a withholding action and/or suspension, with or without prior notification, and for filing a complaint to withdraw a grant of federal inspection. As per Title 9 of the Code of Federal Regulations (9 CFR) 500.3(b), FSIS may impose a suspension without providing the establishment prior notification because the establishment is handling or slaughtering animals inhumanely.

The Federal Meat Inspection Act (FMIA) (21 USC 603(b)) states: *For the purpose of preventing the inhumane slaughtering of livestock, the Secretary shall cause to be*

**Mr. Tim Whisler, Owner
Warner Locker Inc., Est. M8703
Notice of Intended Enforcement
March 17, 2020
Page 2 of 3**

made, by inspectors appointed for that purpose, an examination and inspection of the method by which amenable species are slaughtered and handled in connection with slaughter in the slaughtering establishments inspected under this chapter. The Secretary may refuse to provide inspection to a new slaughtering establishment or may cause inspection to be temporarily suspended at a slaughtering establishment if the Secretary finds that any cattle, sheep, swine, goats, horses, mules, or other equines have been slaughtered or handled in connection with slaughter at such establishment by any method not in accordance with the Act of August 27, 1958 (72 Stat. 862; 7 U.S.C. 1901–1906) until the establishment furnishes assurances satisfactory to the Secretary that all slaughtering and handling in connection with slaughter of livestock shall be in accordance with such a method.

Findings / Basis for Action

On March 16, 2020

(b) (6)

documented the following inhumane handling incident in MOI NEG3609030017G:

At approximately 9:58 a.m. on this date I observed Mr. Whisler attempt to stun a large dairy cross steer using a 357-mag revolver. The first shot merely grazed across the right side of the animal's skull, as it moved its' head away. Mr. Whisler then fired a second shot dropping the animal, but it was still conscious as evidenced by blinking of the eyes and head still held upright. Mr. Whisler fired another round stunning the animal effectively. I immediately informed Mr. Whisler this had been an incident of humane handling due to the ineffective stun(s).

My decision to recommend an NOIE to the District Office is due to the fact you have a written animal handling program that has effectively implemented a robust systematic approach to humane handling resulting in the high rate of compliance you have exhibited over the last 6 months.

Your establishment failed to comply with 9 CFR 313.16(a)(1) and 313.16(a)(3), the Humane Slaughter Act (section 1902), and the Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 603(b)). Dr. Woodworth verbally notified you of this NOIE on 3/16/2020.

According to the FSIS Rules of Practice laid out in 9 CFR 500.3(b), FSIS **may** impose a suspension **without** providing prior notification because an establishment is handling or slaughtering animals inhumanely. Alternatively, FSIS may issue a withholding action or suspension **with** prior notification in certain cases based on considerations of plant history of compliance and demonstration of implementation of a robust systematic approach to humane handling.

In consideration of the factors listed above, we are notifying you of our intent to withhold the marks of inspection and suspend assignment of inspection personnel **with** prior notification for your Slaughter process in accordance with 9 CFR 500.3(b). Please be advised that, as a federally-

**Mr. Tim Whisler, Owner
Warner Locker Inc., Est. M8703
Notice of Intended Enforcement
March 17, 2020
Page 3 of 3**

inspected establishment, you are expected to comply with the FMIA, Humane Methods of Slaughter Act, and all appropriate FSIS regulations. We are giving you the opportunity at this time to demonstrate: (a) why a decision to suspend the assignment of personnel for your Slaughter process should not be made; and, (b) that you have achieved regulatory compliance.

Please provide this office with a written response concerning this NOIE within three (3) business days from the date of your receipt of this letter. We will determine further action, if any, based on your response. Your written response is expected to explain how you will bring your establishment back into compliance with the regulatory requirements. It should include all corrective and preventive measures you are taking or have taken and your support for why these actions will prevent future recurrences.

If you have any questions, please contact this office.

Sincerely,



Robert Q. Bane
District Manager

cc: Ms. Geraldine French, Acting EARO
Dr. Evan Sumner, DDM
Dr. Don Dowdle, DDM
Mr. Jeffery Barham, DDM

(b) (6)



FO/Quarterly Reports