U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	1. CASE NUMBER		PAGE		
FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE			1 OF		
REPORT OF HUMANE HANDLING VERIFICATION VISIT	2. EST. NUMBER	3. EST. ID			
4a. EST. NAME					
4b. EST. ADDRESS/P.O. BOX					
4c. CITY, STATE, ZIPCODE					
5a. NAME OF DVMS (last, first)	5b. NAME OF PHV (last, first)	5c. NAME OF IIC (last, first - if no	t PHV)		
6. DATES(S) OF VISIT (MM/DD/YY)	7. CIRCUIT VISITED (4-digit no.)	8. PLANT SIZE			
FROM: TO:		Large Small	Very Small		
9. SPECIES SLAUGHTERED (Check all species observed) 10a. VOLUME SPEED (Head/Day)		y)		
Bovine Caprine Ovine	2				
	r (specify):	10b. (Head/Hour)			
	(opcony)				
11. STUNNING METHOD (Check all that apply)					
Electrical - head only Captive-bolt - pneumatic Firearm - rifle/shotgun Controlled atmosphere					
Electrical - head/thorax Captive-bolt - hand-held Firearm - pistol None -Ritual Slaughter					
12. REASON FOR VISIT (Check all that apply)					
District Office Direction Egregious Violation Religious Exemption					
Routine Assessment Data	Driven Visit Special Correlation/Other (<i>specify</i>):				
Repetitive Non-Compliance Suspicion of Violations					
13. SYSTEMATIC APPROACH (Federal Register Notice dated September 9, 2004 - "Systematic Approach to Humane Handling and Slaughter")					
DOES THE ESTABLISHMENT USE A SYSTEMATIC APPROACH TO HUMANE HANDLING (all four elements*)?					
Yes No					
IF NO CHECK ITEMS BELOW THAT HAVE BEEN IMPLEMENTED; NUMBERS CORRESPOND TO THE FOUR STEPS OF THE SYSTEMATIC APPROACH:					
1. Initial assessment performed.					
2. Facilities' design and handling practices minimize excitement, discomfort and injury to livestock.					
3. Periodic evaluations performed on handling methods and, if applicable, stunning methods.					
4. Handling practices and facilities modified when necessary.					
IF THE ESTABLISHMENT HAS NOT IMPLEMENTED A	SYSTEMATIC APPROACH TO HUMANE HAP	NDLING, INDICATE THE REASONS	S PROVIDED:		
1. Not a regulatory requirement. 5. Paperwork burden.					
2. History of good complian					
3. The current practices are	3. The current practices are adequate. 7. Other (specify:)				
4. Handling practices and facilities modified when necessary.					

* 1. Initial assessment performed; 2. Facilities' design and handling practices minimize excitement, discomfort and injury to livestock; 3. Periodic evaluations performed on handling methods and, if applicable, stunning methods; 4. Handling practices and facilities modified when necessary)

FSIS FORM 6000-31 (8/21/2012)				
CASE NUMBER			PAGE 2 OF	
EST. NAME				
14. RECOMMENDATIONS (Check only one):				
No Action NR by IIC	Suspension/Withdrawal	Other (specify):		
FOR ALL RESPONSES, OTHER THAN "NO ACTION", CHECK ALL CATEGORIES BELOW THAT ARE RELEVANT TO THE ABOVE RECOMMENDATION:				
Inclement Weather	Ante-mortem	Slips/Falls	Facilities	
Truck Unloading	Suspect/Disabled	Stunning Effectiveness		
Water/Feed	Prod Use	Return to Consciousness		

15. NARRATIVE REPORT - See attached. (Include the categories listed below in the indicated order. Note: the heading for each category should be entered on a separate line above the narrative section for each category.):

Correlated With: Summary of Data Assessment Prior to Visit: Systematic Approach Comments: Summary of Reason(s) for Recommendation: Findings/Narrative Report: